



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/632,298 04/12/96	MOHSEN		А	M-1007US	
_	LM71/1108	LM71/1108 ¬		EXAMINER	
ALAN H MACPHERSON SKJERVEN MORRILL MACPHERSON FRANKLIN			JONES	, H	
& FRIEL	HERSON FRANKLIN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
25 METRO DRIVE SUITE SAN JOSE CA 95110	700		2763	23	
The state of the s			DATE MAILED:	11/08/99	

 Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/632,298

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Hugh Jones

2763

Mohsen



20 m Con 28 4000	
X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 28, 1999</u>	
This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay#835 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), or thi longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for respons application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under th 37 CFR 1.136(a).	se will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
X Claim(s) <u>20-28, 37-39, 42, 50-52, and 72-77</u> is	are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) <u>20-28, 37-39, 42, 50-52, and 72-77</u>	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims are subject to restrict	
·	·
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	•
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disap	proved
	proved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	
☐ received.☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Godd/Schar Named) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.3	2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 08/632,298

Art Unit: 2763

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

- The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 2. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 3. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 4. Claims 20-28, 37-39, 42, 50-52 and 72-77 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 5,377,124. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are directed to the same scope of the invention.

Application/Control Number: 08/632,298

Art Unit: 2763

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's remarks regarding double patenting (pages 1-3 of paper 22) are noted, but are not persuasive.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 20-28, 37-39, 42, 50-52 and 72-77 are allowed over the prior art.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance (assuming the double patenting rejection is overcome):
 - Applicant's arguments regarding the IBM disclosure are persuasive. Essentially, the IBM reference is disclosing the use of a single switch instead of the PIC (programmable inerconnect chip) as disclosed by Applicant (pages 3-6 of paper # 22);
 - Applicant's arguments (pages 7-12 of paper # 22) regarding the Kung reference are also persuasive. All present independent claims include a limitation directed at electrically separate conductors which were not present in the Kung reference. The significance of the segmented leads is provided by Applicant beginning on the last paragraph of page 7 through page 9 of paper # 22;
 - an updated search of the literature uncovered the Butts et al. reference which was cited in an earlier office action (U. S. Patent 5,036,473 of record. See papers # 17 and 20 [pages 5-6]). This reference discloses <u>crossbar chips</u>. However, <u>only the fact</u> that that feature was not disclosed in the parent application re Butts et al. (the '473

Application/Control Number: 08/632,298

Art Unit: 2763

patent being a CIP) renders that disclosure regarding crossbar chips not applicable as prior art.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Hugh Jones whose telephone number is (703) 305-0023.

Dr. Hugh Jones

November 8, 1999

William State of the State of t